The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication in a law journal and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte THOMAS M. BEHR and DAVID M. GOLDENBERG

Application 09/200,791

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

Before HARKCOM, Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge, and WILLIAM F. SMITH and GREEN, Administrative Patent Judges.

Per curiam.

On February 14, 2003, appellants filed a Notice of Appeal. On June 30, 2004, appellants filed a communication stating that appellants are fling a Request for Continued Examination in this application and "do not wish to pursue the pending Appeal."

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the appeal filed February 14, 2003, is dismissed.

Application 09/200,791

The application is being returned to the examiner for further action as may be appropriate.

Gary V. Harkcom, Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge

William F. Smith ' Administrative Patent Judge

INTERFERENCES

APPEAL

BOARD OF PATENT

Lora' Green Administrative Patent Judge

Heller, Ehrman, White & McAuliffe, LLP 1666 K STreet, NW Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006

dem